



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,629	03/31/2005	Frank Dietsche	12810-00042-US1	1355
30678	7590	06/15/2009	EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			CHORBAJI, MONZER R	
1875 EYE STREET, N.W.				
SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/529,629	DIETSCHÉ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MONZER R. CHORBAJI	1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MONZER R. CHORBAJI. (3) ____.

(2) GEORG M. HASSELMANN. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims 1 and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tropsch et al. (U.S.P.N. 6,458,348).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Hasselmann indicated that styrenesulfonic acid is not representative of component (a) as primary vinylamine as taught by Tropsch and that the claim language excludes the presence of other components beside a, b and c. Applicant wil submit statements regarding the substance of this interview.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Jill Warden/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797
--	---